ANNEX 2

Thanet District Council

Draft Thanet Local Plan to 2031

Justification for Preferred Options



Justification for Preferred Options

Justification for the Preferred Options

The Council carried out a consultation on Issues and Options for the new local plan from 3rd June - 14 August 2013. Comments were invited on various options that could form new planning policies.

We have considered the responses to that consultation, and comments made in the Sustainability Appraisal report that was produced for that consultation.

The following tables set out the options that were considered, and why they have been accepted or rejected, to explain why the policies in the Preferred Options plan are considered to be the most appropriate.

Economy

Issues and Options Issue 1

Issue 1 - What level of employment growth should be planned for up to 2031?

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Policy SP02 - Economic Growth

Non-Strategic

None

lssue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	-	Why other options have been rejected
Level of employment to be planned for	1a – baseline scenario 1b – policy on scenario 1c – risk based scenario	especially as it involves boosting the green economy.	Between baseline and high. The NPPF says we need to plan for all foreseeable types of employment growth. Given past poor performance there is a need for a step change in rate of economic growth in District. This option supports the Council's	The Economic Lower growth option would not accord with positive economic strategy required by the NPPF. There are concerns
			Economic and	

			also supports population growth when combined with potential airport growth.	change to date. The Economic Baseline option would not deliver step change in employment growth needed or meet the growth expectations of the NPPF.
Should we plan for additional employment growth at the airport?		This option is still to be fully appraised for SA	by NPPF to support such growth. The airport is a potential significant asset which needs positive planning framework to help in it's delivery of airport related or	potential future and contrary to the NPPF's instructions to support all sectors of the economy. To not plan for additional employment growth at the airport would be ignoring a potential major
What level of growth at the airport	0	The option for airport high growth resulted in the most positive and	Evidence from the	The low growth option is not enough to sustain a functioning airport
	growth		Employment Assessment shows that a mid range is more realistic and deliverable. Evidence	

the wider economy of Thanet and East Kent. Negative effects are associated with the effects of large scale employment growth suc as greenhouse gas emissions from the construction and operation of new faciliti The low growth option had less dramatic effect and the no growth option had limited effects.	demonstrates that the no growth and low growth options are not viable. High growth, given the uncertainty of growth at the airport in the context of the 2009 Airport Masterplan is unlikely to s. be deliverable. Should the airport not be viable in s its current form it is	here are not likely to be significant in the plan's timeframe.
--	---	--

Issue 2 - How much employment land is needed and where?

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Policy SP03 - Land Allocated froe Economic Development

Policy SP04 - Manston Business Park

Policy SP07.2 - Eurokent Mixed Use Area

Policy SP07.3 - Thanet Reach Mixed Use Area

Non-Strategic

Policy E01 - Retention of Existing Employment Sites

Issue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	Preferred Option and Justification	Why other options have been rejected
How much employment	2a – use of forecast	Maintaining the existing	Provide the amount of	Simply providing the land
land	labour demand	supply of employment	land evidence indicates is	that evidence indicates is
		land and allowing for	required, plus additional	required does not provide
	2b – use of past take up	additional land to ensure	land as a buffer This	sufficient flexibility and
	rate	flexibility and choice,	option provides for all	contingency to
		performed the best.	types of economic	accommodate all
	2c – maintain existing	-	development in	employment generating
	supply	It was difficult to assess	accordance with the	development that is not
		these options due to the	NPPF. This option	typically located on

Type and Location	2e – relax the uses permitted on some of the allocated employment sites to allow other employment generating uses outside of the B classes 2f – maintain a variety of sites in a range of locations across the district	from which to select sites is less likely to result in adverse effects and has the greatest opportunity to deliver beneficial effects. Concentrating employment sites in one area (at the single site or cluster) could disadvantage the rest of	the District and sustainability was a factor in their designation. Evidence in the economic and Employment Assessment and the Employment land Review suggest that there is more than sufficient land allocated to	Providing all of the employment land in a single location or cluster would not meet the needs of the different types of industry.
	2g – provide all employment land in a single location or cluster in the district 2h allow other sites to be developed	the District. The single site option could also result in residents having to commute longer distances to get to work and therefore they would be more reliant on the private car.	accommodate the need to the end of the plan period and therefore there is no justification for allocating new sites. Consider, where appropriate, being flexible	
		with policy protection for existing employment sites was predicted as having the potential to result in a significant positive effect, particularly in terms of job	We should protect existing employment sites	

	better in terms of its potential to have indirect	Local Plan following assessment of their contribution to the Plan's economic strategy.	
--	---	---	--

Issue 3 - How can we promote our infrastructure assets?

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Policy SP05 - Manston Airport

Policy SP09.3 - Ramsgate Port

Non-Strategic

None

This issue also informs Policy SP39 - New Rail Station in the Transport and Infrastructure Section

Issue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	-	Why other options have been rejected
Airport	3a Policy safeguard	Continuing to safeguard the operation of the	3a. The NPPF requires us to plan for all	3b. This option would be harmful to the airport's
	3b No policy safeguard	benefits in terms of contributing towards job creation, economic	economy. Having a policy relating to the airport allows us to include policy provisions which safeguard the environment. A flexible	contrary to the NPPF's instructions to support all sectors of the economy.

	effects such as impacts on landscape, noise and air quality. Removing this policy safeguard has less positive effects, but there	types of economic development in line with	stifling an important growth sector of the economy and wider impact and therefore contrary to the NPPF.
3e – new station for airport and commuters 3f – no support for a new station 3g – support improvements to Ramsgate Station	station is likely to result in more significant beneficial effects in terms of contributing towards employment, economic growth (particularly the visitor economy) and providing infrastructure to support modal shift.	and encourage sustainable travel. Evidence suggests that existing stations are at capacity and improvements to existing	Not planning for a parkway could stifle economic growth. The NPPF requires that Local Plans make provision for infrastructure to build a strong, responsive, competitive economy.

		particular.		
Port	3c - continue to safeguard the port 3d – cease policy protection	The option to safeguard the port has the greatest potential benefits particularly with respect to supporting economic growth. It also contributes towards maintaining the towns sense of character as a port town as well as indirectly contribute towards transport infrastructure in Kent and the wider region.	subject to criteria. The port provides an important economic function which supports economic strategy. The NPPF requires Local Plans to make provision for infrastructure that supports economic growth.	3d. Ceasing policy protection for the port would potentially restrict economic growth opportunities and would be contrary to the NPPF.

Issue 4 - How should Thanet's Town centres develop?

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Policy SP06 - Thanet's Town Centres

Policy SP07 - Westwood

Policy SP08 - Margate

Policy SP09 - Ramsgate

Policy SP10 - Broadstairs

Non-Strategic

Policy E04 - Primary and Secondary Frontages

Policy E05 - Sequential and Impact Test

Policy E06 - District and Local Centres

Issue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	Preferred Option and	Why other options have
			Justification	been rejected
Retail role of town	5a – maintain existing	Maintaining the existing	5a. Maintain existing	5c – Evidence suggests
centres. Retail hierarchy	hierarchy	retail hierarchy and	retail hierarchy. The	that given the uncertain
		increasing the role of the	relative relationships	future of retail there is no

	coastal town centres in	between the town centres	
		is not likely to change and	
in convenience shopping		U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U	at Westwood during the
	by helping to continue the		plan period and to do so
5c – increase			could potentially harm the
Westwood's market share	(U		vitality and viability of the
		suggests that there is not	coastal town centres.
	retail spend outside of the	the retail demand during	
	District) whilst also	the plan period to	
	supporting the role of the	increase the market	
		share of Westwood.	
	the need to travel and the		
	distance travelled.	5b. Increase the role of	
	Maintaining the existing	coastal town centres in	
	<u> </u>	convenience. Although	
		this option is not likely to	
		alter the ultimate pattern	
	Ŭ	in the retail hierarchy it is	
	Westwood would result in		
	economic and job	availability of	
	creation benefits at	convenience shopping	
	Westwood. However,	close to the centres of	
		population. This would	
		reduce the need to travel	
	,		
	adverse effects	and support local	
		communities. This also	
	elsewhere in the Thanet.	helps to support the	
		future of town centres for	
		and increases footfall in	
		the towns which	
		enhances their vitality	
		and viability.	

Accommodating town	5d - no specific sites for	This option to be flexible	5d. Provide no specific	None to reject
centre development	commercial leisure	in our town centres to	sites for commercial	
needs	development	enable leisure	leisure development in	
		development is	the plan as there is no	
	5e – flexible policies to	particularly positive in	need has been identified	
	allow commercial leisure	terms of job creation,	in the evidence	
	development in town	supporting the economy,	documents.	
	centres	sustainable travel and the		
		tourist economy. Adverse	5e. Have flexible policies	
	5f – additional 20% floor	effects were related to	to allow commercial	
	space for A2-A5 uses in	consuming resources to	leisure development in	
	town centres	construct and operate	town centres. Whilst there	;
		new development.	is no identified need,	
			there is a need to be	
			flexible if unexpected	
			proposals come along in	
		The option to plan for	order to support	
		additional floorspace to	economic development.	
		accommodate the need	Commercial leisure is a	
		for restaurants/cafes,	town centre use and	
		takeaways, drinking	therefore provision needs	
		establishments and	to be made in these	
		financial and professional		
		services such as banks.	know what type of	
		This has the same	commercial leisure	
		sustainability impacts as	development is likely to	
		the option above.	come forward there is a	
			need to be flexible.	
			5f. Plan for an additional	
			20% floor space for A2-	
			ZU /0 HUUI SPACE IUI AZ-	

Use of vacant premises in	5q(i) — no policy	The option to	A5 uses in town centres need to provide for all town centre development. This is required to provide a balanced and functional town centre, and increase the vitality and viability of town centres. 5g. Accommodate town	
Ramsgate and Margate	requirement to accommodate need for town centre development in the existing premises where possible 5g – Accommodate town centre development in town centre units	accommodate the need for town centre development within	centre development in vacant town centre units within Margate and Ramsgate. The best location for town centre development is within the commercial core where many vacant units are located in Margate and Ramsgate. This is needed to support function and vitality of	additional land outside the core town centre to accommodate need would not be the most sequentially preferable location, and would not constitute the best use of land. This approach would extend town centres unnecessarily and be harmful to vitality and viability of the town centres especially in terms of footfall.

			comprise the most sequentially preferable location and locating town centre development here would have the most positive impact on vitality and viability of town centres.	
Westwood	5h – accommodate development within the existing commercial area 5i – accommodate development on a new adjacent site	The option to accommodate need on sites outside of existing commercial areas scores negatively as it would potentially require development on greenfield land whereas the option to accommodate need in existing commercial areas supports the sustainable use of land by directing development to areas of previously developed land. Both options had positive effects in terms of supporting job creation and economic growth.	development within the existing commercial area. There is sufficient land available with the existing commercial area to accommodate development need to the	5i. Accommodating development on a new adjacent site is the least sequentially preferable location and would not be accordance with the NPPF. It could potentially harm the vitality and viability of the town centre particularly with regard to footfall.

		commitments within the commercial areas provide for significant amount of the identified need during the plan period.	
Broadstairs	terms of accessibility, job creation, economic growth and the sustainable distribution of development and supporting a shift away	centre development close to the existing commercial area. This is the most sequentially preferable option as it provides for the need where it arises and is therefore in accordance with the NPPF. It also reduces the need to travel for Broadstairs residents. It is the most sustainable option and promotes the vitality and viability of town centres as well as supporting local communities.	5k – This option is potentially harmful to viability and viability of Broadstairs as it is not providing for the need where it arises.

		cannot easily be accessed on foot or by bicycle thereby requiring people to use the private car.		
District and Local Centres	development to support community needs	allows retail development in district and local centres to support community needs is likely to contribute towards sustainable economic growth, local sense of place and sustainable transport. This is on the basis that locating small	retail development in District and Local Centres reduces the need to use car as it provides everyday convenience facilities on the doorstep.	Not proving these facilities in District and Local centres would mean that people have to travel further to meet their everyday small scale shopping needs and this is not sustainable.
Thresholds for impact	5m – set local thresholds	Setting a local threshold	5m. Setting a local	5n No locally set

	development outside town centres 5n – do not set local thresholds for out of town centre development but use the NPPF thresholds	likely to result in more beneficial effects than using the thresholds set out in national policy. This is on the basis that locating small convenience type shops in or close to residential areas would avoid larger retail units being developed that could detract and potentially	policy is responsive to local circumstances. The NPPF suggests that thresholds are set locally (although it does provide a default threshold where this is not the case). Due to the function and role of	main town centres. Not setting a threshold would be contrary to government advice as the NPPF encourages local planning authorities to set local thresholds.
--	---	--	--	--

Issue 5 - How can we support the rural economy?

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

None

Non-Strategic

- Policy E15 New build development for economic development purposes in the rural area
- Policy E16 Conversion of rural buildings for economic development purposes
- Policy E17 Farm Diversification
- Policy E18 Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land

Policy E19 - Agricultural Related Development

Issue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	-	Why other options have been rejected
How to support the rural	6a – support farm	Supporting farm		5b – No support for farm
economy	diversification where it	diversification is more	diversification. This	diversification. Not
	complements the farm	likely to result in	options supports the rural	supporting farm
	function (subject to	beneficial effects than no	economy and potentially	diversification could stifle
	criteria)	support because, by the	contributes to the viability	the growth and viability of
		use of criteria, it would	of farms. The option	the rural economy which
	6b – no policy support for	allow the District to gain	supports rural businesses	would be contrary to the

	whilst avoiding many of the potential downsides (e.g. traffic impact, visual and landscape effects and adverse effects on	also supports the food production industry which	NPPF which states that Local Plans should support the rural economy and address barriers to the food production industry.
development in settlements 6d – new build economic development (subject to design and sustainability criteria)	place and sustainable transport (by locating development in settlements and thereby reducing reliance on private car use). However, the option may	development within settlements subject to design and sustainability criteria is in accordance with the NPPF as it supports the rural economy. The addition of sustainability and design	Not supporting new build economic development in rural areas would be contrary to the NPPF. Not having a policy could lead to inappropriate development in terms of landscaping, design and access. Allowing new build development anywhere could result in isolated development which is unsustainable.

		effects.		
n s 6	new village shops and services of – policy support for existing shops and services	positive and negative effects and neither one appears to perform better than the other in sustainability terms. There are beneficial	rural communities by	Not supporting rural communities would be harmful to the rural economy and would therefore be contrary to the NPPF.
n la	nost versatile agricultural and Sh – no policy support	versatile agricultural landhas the potential to contribute towards the	food production industry.	most versatile agricultural

	effects.	
6i – policy support for agricultural related development including retail 6j – policy support for agricultural related dwellings 6k – No specific policy agricultural related development	Supporting agricultural development, including dwellings and retail units are likely to have positive effects and the potential to contribute towards the economy, rural housing supply, job creation and reducing the need for of people to travel to access jobs, services and local facilities. However because there are no specifics in relation to where development would occur, the effects on the built environment, landscape, heritage, ecology and the water environment are uncertain. Potentially adverse effects have also been predicted in terms of energy and resource consumption as well as waste generation because new development will result, to a lesser or greater extent,	Not supporting these options would be harmful to the rural economy and would be contrary to the NPPF.

in these effects.	

The following policies and options are currently undergoing the Sustainability Appraisal process:

Policy Name	Draft Policy Wording	Options
E16 Conversion of rural buildings	Where it can be demonstrated that	Preferred option- to have a policy that
	the building is not needed for	supports conversion or rural buildings
	agricultural use the conversion of	for economic development purposes
	rural buildings to other uses for	as this is in accordance with the NPPF.
	economic development purposes	The other option was no policy.
	will be permitted where all the	
	following criteria are met:	
	1) Their form, bulk and general	
	design are in keeping with the	
	character of the surrounding	
	countryside.	
	2) The proposed use is acceptable in terms of its impact on the surrounding area and the local highway network.	
	3) Demonstrate through a structural survey that the building is capable of conversion.	
	4) Any alterations associated with	

the conversion would not be detrimental to the distinctive character of the building (or its setting), its historic fabric or features.	
5) If the building forms part of a complex of agricultural or industrial buildings, a comprehensive strategy is put forward which shows the effects on the use of the remaining complex, and on any listed buildings and their settings.	
6) Where the building currently contains protected species, mitigation should be provided.	

Issue 6 - How can we support the visitor economy?

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

None

Non-Strategic

- Policy E07 Serviced Tourist Accommodation
- Policy E08 Self catering Tourist Accommodation
- Policy E09 Protection of Existing Tourist Accommodation
- Policy E10 Major Holiday Beaches
- Policy E11 Intermediate Beaches
- Policy E12 Undeveloped Beaches
- Policy E13 Language Schools

Policy E14 - Quex Park

Issue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal		Why other options have been rejected
How to support the visitor	7a – Hotel development	The effects of allowing	7a. Support hotel	Not supporting hotel

economy	7b – No policy support for hotel development out of	slightly better than not because it is assumed that allowing out of town centre hotel development will provide the space for facilities that are often also provided with high end hotels (e.g. Spa, leisure facilities and golf	accommodation in order to grow the tourism sector of the economy. This enables employment generating development and is in accordance with the NPPF.	development in locations other than town centres could stifle the tourism economy which would be contrary to Thanet's Economic and Regeneration strategy and the NPPF.
	to criteria except caravan accommodation at the coast) 7d – no policy restriction for caravan accommodation at the coast 7c - no policy support for self catered	Supporting self catering accommodation except	the NPPF.	Not supporting self catering accommodation could stifle the tourism economy which would be contrary to Thanet's Economic and Regeneration strategy and the NPPF.

			1
	job creation and		
	economic growth without		
	some of the potential		
	downsides (e.g. the visual		
	effects of caravan		
	accommodation on the		
	coastline and the		
	detrimental effect this can		
	have natural environment		
	as an important part of		
	the visitor economy). Not		
	restricting caravan		
	accommodation at the		
	coast performs the worst		
	and is predicted as being		
	likely to have a significant		
	negative effect on		
	landscape.		
7f – policy support for	7f. Support for new tourist	7f. 7i. 7i	7g; 7k
	facilities is likely to have a		79, 7K
	significant effect on job	Supporting new tourism	Protecting all tourism
7g – no policy support for	v v		sites to restrict their loss
new tourist facilities		facilities encourages	
	growth in the tourist and	economic growth and	could potentially stifle
		employment generation and is therefore in	economic growth and
	protecting existing		would be very difficult to
	tourism facilities except	accordance with the	deliver.
tourism facilities		NPPF	
	demonstrated that they		
1 51 5	5	We should protect sites	
0		that are considered	
	a positive effect on	important to Thanet's	
demonstrated that the	economic growth, the	visitor economy	

facility is no longer		i.e. Dreamland ads this	
7j – protect identifie	within existing ed settlements and would	helps to grow the tourism industry in line with the	
sites which are of	also contribute towards	Council's Economic and	
particular importance		Regeneration Strategy.	
Thanet's visitor eco	0	Hotel development is also	
	features that are linked to	-	
7k – no policy prote		improving the tourism	
of existing tourism	tourist and visitor	industry. Policy wording	
facilities	economy of the District.	will require hotels to	
	However, blanket	demonstrate viability in	
	protection without the	order to restrict the loss	
	criteria that would allow	of accommodation stock	
	alternative uses where	to attract the overnight visitor.	
	existing facilities are vacant and not used,	VISILOI.	
	would have potential		
	adverse effects on		
	townscape and a		
	negative visual impact on		
	visitors and their		
	perception of the District		
	as a destination.		
	Policy to protect specific		
	sites that are of		
	importance to the visitor economy are likely to		
	have a positive effect, on		
	job creation, economic		
		I J	

	growth and potentially the use of previously developed land. However, without this policy there would be a greater degree of uncertainty as to whether or not its effects would be positive or negative.		
support for language schools subject to criteria 7m – remove policy support fro language schools	schools is more likely to result in positive effects than not supporting them, particularly in terms of job creation and supporting economic growth. Any potentially negative	language schools subject to criteria Language schools are a major contributer to Thanet's economy and should be encouraged in line with the NPPF.	Not supporting language schools could impact on Thanet's economy and would be contrary to the NPPF.

I .			Za Cantinua ta mara	
			7q. Continue to zone	Not applying policies to
		•	beaches according to	the beaches depending
		significant positive effects		on their character would
	, ,	for the District as a result		,
		0	approach protects	development is directed
			undeveloped beached	to areas where nature
			which are important	conservation is more
			habitats and directs	important. Providing
		beach. As a result the		certainty for development
				helps support economic
		landscape and ecological		development and
			economy. This assists	therefore beach policies
			with economic	are considered important.
			development and	
		potential to indirectly	employment generation	
		support the character and	as well as protecting the	
		sense of place associated	environment and is	
		with the different types of	therefore in accordance	
		coastal area and beach	with the NPPF.	
		environment.		
		Without policy protection		
		there would be significant		
		adverse effects, some of		
		which could not be		
		mitigated or avoided		
		without a safeguarding		
		policy.		

Issue 7 - How can we support communications infrastructure and home working?

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

None

Non-Strategic

Policy E02 - Home Working

Policy E03 - Digital Infrastructure

lssue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	Preferred Option and Justification	Why other options have been rejected
How can we support communications infrastructure and home working	developments to be provided with appropriate communications 4b – no requirement for telecommunications infrastructure	developments to provide appropriate communications infrastructure has the potential to achieve a number of SA objectives by reducing the need to	4a. Require new developments to provide telecommunications. It supports the local economy, reduces the need to travel so is more sustainable and is in accordance with the NPPF	Not requiring new developments to provide appropriate communications infrastructure is missing an opportunity to enhance local economic growth and competitiveness of the District.

for home working 4d – no support working	to criteria homeworking would contribute to SA objectives by reducing for home the need to travel and indirectly helping to make residential areas more vibrant by increasing daytime activity.	more sustainable	The NPPF requires that Local Plans to facilitate flexible working practices such as the integration of residential and commercial uses within the same unit so therefore not supporting home working would be contrary to the NPPF.
4e – Provide pol support, for work particularly in rur 4f – Make no pro work hubs (provi on business park within town centr	allocations for workhubs allocations for workhubs would benefit rural areas as well as urban ones ovision for and would help distribute de them job creation and the economic benefits of	parks and in town	If workhubs are needed then not providing for them would be contrary to the NPPF. The NPPF requires that Local Plans identify sites to meet anticipated needs over the plan period.

Housing

Issues and Options Issue 8

Issues and Options Issue 8 - What scenario should underpin the level of housing provision

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

SP11 Housing provision

Non-Strategic

None

Issue	Options in SA	Sustainability Appraisal	Preferred Option and Justification	Why other options have been rejected
8.2 What scenario should underpin the level of housing provision?	(3,714 homes)	All options would increase the housing supply but the higher ones resulting in greater house building and greater provision of affordable housing.		8a, 8c and 8e would not address future requirements taking account of migration and/or deliver the economic strategy. This approach would be
	8b - Short Term Migration	Options 8b and 8d (reflecting higher housing numbers) have a number of		incompatible with the NPPF and aspirations for economic and

(11,648 homes)	potentially significant	Strong growth in tourism	employment growth.
		and green sectors is the	
	with increased demands on	basis of the Council's	
	key facilities such as	economic development	
	healthcare educational and	strategy. The level of	
(9,639 homes)	support for vulnerable	housing associated with	
	people.	that scenario is also	
		comparable with that	
	The SA notes that the	associated with migration	
8d - Strong growth in	options are strategic and	trends (8b) and in terms of	
tourism & green	until potential locations are	the NPPF is thus most likely	
sectors (11,791	known do not factor in	to be regarded as in	
homes)	aspects such as	accordance with national	
	refurbishment potential of	policy. However, basing	
	existing stock, impact on	provision on the economic	
	designated sites and effect	growth strategy and	
8e - Economy returns	on landscape and	supporting delivery of that	
to recession (7,600	townscape and tourist	strategy through planning	
homes)	industry.	policy will help address the	
		risk of perpetuating	
	The options associated with		
	higher housing numbers will	dependent migrants.	
	have greater employment		
	and GVA benefits from		
	spending on housing		
	construction		
	Under option 8d growth in		
	the Green sector suggests		
	more growth in sustainable		
	sectors/industries which		
	could include sustainable		

transport systems. This is
reflected in 8d being the
only option to show
permanent direct benefits in
terms of a sustainable
public transport network
allowing access to key
facilities, services and
employment without relying
on private vehicles,
developing key sustainable
wider transport links
including road, rail and air,
conserving and enhancing
biodiversity, reducing
impacts of resource
consumption and increased
energy efficiency and
proportion from renewables

The following policies and options are currently undergoing the Sustainability process:

Policy Name	Draft Policy Wording	Options
housing development)	phasing.	Preferred option retain policy. Regulation of land release is important to gear it with expected growth in demand and with provision of supporting infrastructure. Option no restriction.

Issues and Options Issue 9 - Broad approach to location of future homes

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

SP12 - Strategic Housing site Allocations

Non-Strategic

H01 - Housing Development

H02A et seq - non strategic housing allocations

H04 - Housing at Rural Settlements

H04A et seq - rural housing site allocations

Issue	Options in SA	Sustainability Appraisal	-	Why other options have been rejected
	development provisions within the existing built up areas of the towns and villages in order to	options 9a and 9b exhibit significant positive effects, whereas for option 9c most are negative.	of previously developed land and focusing development in	compromise factors acknowledged of importance in the NPPF and would not assist urban regeneration.

greenfield land.	Locating development in the urban areas will mean		9c -would overlook urban area opportunities and
	they are more likely to be		thus be incompatible with
		heritage assets and the	government's policy
(e.g. to safeguard back	transport links and by	need to respect local	objectives encouraging
gardens/family homes/	services in locations	character and add to the	effective use of previously
sites that are not	where these are	overall quality and	developed land, and
	concentrated, and if	character of areas,	prioritising sustainable
	located near healthcare	through quality design.	locations.
	and educational facilities		
•	will affect demand and	The SHLAA indicates that	
0	capacity.	significant housing	
to restrict housing sites in		potential exists in the	
	e i	existing built up/urban	
important for	on greenfield sites	areas (including much on	
0	increases the likelihood of		
	affecting natural and	land) without	
	semi-natural assets.	compromising the environmental	
	As options are strategic it	considerations referred to	
		above. This approach is	
		therefore considered	
	impacted. And this will	compatible with national	
	need to be considered in	policy. This option is also	
	detailed planning.	compatible assisting	
		urban regeneration.	
		Responses to consultation	
		showed strong consensus	
		for focussing or	
		maximising use of urban	
		area.	

greenfield housing land		location and a small number of sites has lower negative impact than the dispersed sites option across a range of SA objectives. As options are strategic, the commentary is necessarily somewhat general and unable to comment on certain aspects Options associated with dispersed sites are noted as likely to increase risk of sprawling development, impact on natural and semi-natural resources and require most service alteration or increased car use.	some clustering and variation between sizes of sites may be beneficial (for example where grouped sites can form part of a wider strategic sites delivering key infrastructure). Potential greenfield housing site opportunities identified are of varying size and location. Some of these are clustered. In order to provide choice, optimise use of existing infrastructure capacity and avoid over reliance on delivery of a small number of large single sites the preferred option is to identify a portfolio of sites in different locations across the district. Over 50% of consultation responses on this issue supported this option.	
greenfield housing land	9g - Adjoining the urban	Compared with other options, 9i and 9k show	9g & 9h - Adjoining the urban area with limited	sustainable (especially in

area		significant negative	provision adjoining certain	
		effects in terms of	villages.	facilities, infrastructure
9h - Adjo		sustainable access to key		connections, community
			Thanet is a geographically	
9i - Frees	5		small district and the	impact on high grade
countrys	ide sites	Thanet and beyond.	SHLAA shows that its	agricultural land) than
			extensive multi centred	those within/adjoining
9j - In the	e Green Wedges	Compared with other	urban area and periphery	existing built up areas.
		options, 9g & h show	offers significant housing	
9k – Hou	ising in the form	(respectively) significant	potential and is generally	9j -As a result of Thanet's
of a new	settlement	and minor positive effects	well located regarding	limited geographical area
		in terms of key facilities to	access to services.	and almost continuous
		support vulnerable people		urban coastal belt, the
		and reducing deprivation.	The larger villages already	function of the Green
			served with community	Wedges remains highly
		Compared with other	facilities are also	important and is to be
		option 9j shows minor	considered have some	subject to continued
		positive effects in terms	housing potential, for	protection. Thus any
		of sustainable (non-car)	which locations adjoining	release of land in Green
		access to key facilities,	their built confines may be	Wedges would be
		sustainable transport	appropriate, subject to	considered only
		links between Thanet and	scale and compatibility	exceptionally where
		beyond and a sustainable	with their size and	shortcomings in the
		pattern of development,	character.	sustainability merits of
				alternative housing sites
		Assuming key facilities	75% of responses on this	making up the total
		and transport links are	issue supported the	requirement outweigh the
		more likely to be	adjoining urban area	importance of a site to the
		concentrated within and	location, and 39%	function of the Green
		between built up areas,	adjoining the villages.	Wedge.
		locating new		
		development adjacent to		9k - would be

existing urban areas will mean they are more likely to be better served. 9g and to a lesser extent 9h will ensure new development is served by existing transport links. 9j is likely to provide greater opportunities to integrate new development with existing transport links and infrastructure as the Green Wedges are long	unsustainable for the same reasons as freestanding countryside sites. In addition a new settlement would be incompatible with the district's limited geographical area, which embraces extensive urban areas and closely grouped villages. A single settlement would also risk over reliance on delivery, likely to be impacted by
and infrastructure as the	over reliance on delivery,
existing developed areas that already have public transport and other links.	substantial investments in new infrastructure.

The following policies and options are currently undergoing the Sustainability process:

Policy Name	Draft Policy Wording	Options
Settlement Hierarchy (no specific policy)	diagramatically in the draft plan.	No alternative option identified as the hierarchy is reflcets NPPF principles, sustainability principles, analysis of existing hierarchy and results of public consultation.
SP12-17, H01 and H02A et seq - Housing site allocations	strategeic housing land allocations.	No alternative option identified. Sites were selected following screening against sustainability and other relevant principles applied in the Strategic Housing Land Availability

		Assessment, and subsequent consideration in terms of the strategy for the planned location of housing which reflects the NPPF, the interim sustainability appraisal and stakeholder consultation. Selection is reflective of variant of option 9f (clustering but mix of large and small sustainably located sites) and a hybrid option of 9g & 9h (adjoining the urban area and limited provision adjoining certain villages.
Housing site allocations	requirements to safeguard factors of acknowledged importance including heritage and landscape, to secure transport, community and utility infrastructure and address housing need in line with the signals in the NPPF, and the evidence base. Some site allocation policies aim to increase te proportion of homes that are houses above those recommended in the SHMA.	Policy addresses factors of acknowledged importance. Dwelling completions in the district have in the last few years included a proportion of flats substantially higher than recommended in the SHMA. This aspect of the policy seeks to redress the balance. Alternative option no policy could result in such factors being overlooked and would likely significantly extend the time period within which the overall stock may come to reflect the balance recommended in the SHMA.
H01 Housing development	Policy stating circumstances and criteria where housing development will be granted (including restricting	Alternative options

	non-allocated sites to previously developed land within existing built up confines) and resisting alternative use of allocated housing sites.	restriction on windfall sites to previously developed land and/or restriction alternative use of allocated sites. 3 no policy The content and scope of the policy as outlined is preferred option being considered compatible with the NPPF and necessary to promote sustainable development and increase the housing stock.
H01 (aspect relating to Area specific objectives)	Policy stating that housing development will be expected to reflect specific housing objectives according to location.	The objectives reflect the Strategic Housing Market Assessment and the Plan's strategic priority objectives. Without these the plan's priorities and housing needs may not be met. Alternative option no policy.
H03 Cliftonville	Policy expecting proposals to provide residential accommodation to improve poor quality homes, increase family homes, create mixed settled communities and improve the environment.	Policy considered appropriate response in seeking to reverse local social and economic deprivation and compatible with other Council initiatives to address this. Alternative option no policy.
H04 Housing at Rural Settlements	Policy indicating scale and location of housing development appropriate at	Policy considered appropriate to ensure scale of development reflects

particular rural settlements, allocating specific sites and stating expectations on terms of addressing need for particuar types of housing and community facilities.	sustainability principles and safeguards the character of individual settlements, to identify which rural sites are allocated as compatible with those criteria and to ensure proposals are responsive to need in respect of types of housing and supporting community facilities. Alternative option of no policy could lead to speculative and unsustainable proposals.
---	--

Issue 10 - What types of new homes do we need to provide

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

SP13-17 Strategic Site Allocations

SP18 Type and size of dwellings

SP19 Affordable Housing

Non-Strategic

H2A-F Non strategic site allocations

H05- Rural Housing Need

H09 Non-self contained accommodation

H10 Accommodation for Gypsies ansd Travelers

Issue			•	Why other options have been rejected
10.2 – What type of	10a - In line with	Of the limited number of	10a -The SHMA and any	Alternative guideline
market homes are	guideline proportion	SA objectives impacted	bona fide update of it	proportions - No robust
needed?	included in Strategic	this option shows no	represent the key source of	alternative source of

	Housing Market Assessment	significant positive effect upon the SA objective of providing a sustainable supply of housing including an appropriate mix of types and tenures	demand and need. Responses to consultation showed a diversity of opinion including whether more emphasis should be placed on family homes or	information.
		change		
10.3 – What type of affordable homes are needed?	10b - In line with guideline proportion included in Strategic Housing Market Assessment	SA objectives impacted this option shows no negative effects, and a significant positive effect upon the SA objective of providing a sustainable supply of housing	demand and need.	Alternative guideline proportions - No robust alternative source of information.

		energy efficiency and responding to the challenge of climate change		
10.4 – delivering affordable housing	new housing developments 10d - Do not set	The option (10c) of a 30% element policy target shows a number of minor positive effects. (essentially relating to supporting vulnerable people, reducing deprivation, supporting	an appropriate target; balancing viability with the	10d - Absence of a target would significantly reduce affordable housing that may be delivered. A higher target would potentially threaten viability of residential development, and a lower target would reduce potential
	policy 10e - Affordable housing to apply to all residential development (no threshold) 10f - Maintain 15	vibrant communities, and improving urban renaissance). Conversely the no policy option (10d) shows significant negative effects in such terms.	assessment shows that negotiating an element/contribution for affordable housing on sites of any size would not undermine viability, thus	yield of much needed affordable homes.
	dwelling threshold 10g - Allow for provision of affordable housing off site or through a financial contribution 10h - Affordable homes to be 70% social rent and 30%	effects, option 10f	off site or by way of a financial contribution. Such instances may better serve to deliver housing strategy objectives	-Affordable housing to reflect alternative proportion of social rent and intermediate. The 70%/30% proportion is based on the conclusions of the SHMA, and unless any bona fide update suggests otherwise there is no robust information justifying an

intermediate			alternative proportion.
		SHMA.	
10i - Allow release of	15 units unviable.		10j - The NPPF expects a
land adjoining built up		10i -Recent rural parish	responsive approach to local
parts of rural villages		surveys have shown local	rural area circumstances and
to deliver affordable		need exists for affordable	refers to such a policy
homes		housing in most of Thanet's	
	site or through a	rural villages. Such a policy	such a policy may preclude
10j - No specific policy	contribution showed	would facilitate delivery in	provision of affordable
	some minor negative	cases where it may not be	homes to meet local need,
	impacts. These are	feasible to do so within	potentially threatening the
	associated with the	villages' built up areas.	sustainability of village
	possibility that affordable		communities.
	housing will be		
	concentrated away from		
	other developments		
	potentially resulting in		
	crime, deprivation and		
	polarised communities.		
	Option 10h showed a		
	number of minor positive		
	effects principally		
	relating to supporting		
	vulnerable people,		
	reducing deprivation and		
	creating vibrant		
	balanced communities		
	(and no negative effects)		
	(and no negative enects)		
	Allowing exceptional		
	land release as per		
	ומווט וכובמשב מש אבו		

				I
		option 10i showed a		
		number of minor		
		negative effects		
		including access to		
		facilities, community		
		well-being, and		
		sustainable development		
		pattern. These are		
		associated with		
		concerns that the policy		
		might lead to people		
		being located away from		
		more densely populated		
		and better served areas.		
		However, the alternative		
		(no policy) option 10j		
		showed a number of		
		significant negative		
		effects (including similar		
		considerations).		
10.5 -Approach to	10k - Retain criteria	All options are described	10k - This will provide a	No policy or restrictions -
Houses in Multiple	based policy	as strategic in nature,	basis for continuing to	
Occupation		U	ensure that proposals for	Existing policy has provided
	10I - Restrict HMO's in	U J	HMO's do not cause harm	a useful mechanism to judge
	certain areas	may be selected and the	to local amenity	proposals on the basis of
		thresholds for any		individual and in combination
	10m - Identifying a	targets imposed in	10I - Continuing	impacts
	particular	respect of option 10m	presumption against	
	concentration of	particularly,	HMO's in west Cliftonville	No restriction - In some
	HMO's which would be		(as in existing DPD) will	locations a presumptive
	unacceptable in an	Options 10k & 10 I will	complement interventions	restriction is justified in order
	area and restrict	both likely maintain		to support area regeneration

through policy	status quo with a	to regenerate the area.	programmes (as in the case
an ough policy	potential to restrict	to regenerate the area.	of the area covered by
	•	10m - Potential exists for	Cliftonville development plan
	an impact on the supply		document).
		increase in number and to	
		a level that may undermine	No restriction on
	_		numbers/concentration
		by established	Concerns resulting from
		communities and erode the	
		stock of modern family	HMO's associated with
	healthcare.	homes. Thus a criteria	student accommodation
		based policy needs	illustrate that it would be
	Policies should ensure	augmentation with a	beneficial to augment the
	proper management,	threshold beyond which	criteria based policy to
	and in high density	harm would be expected to	indicate a number of HMO's
	areas private car use	arise. It is proposed that	in any area beyond which
	should be discouraged	this be applied district wide	harm would be expected to
	_	in order to preclude	arise.
	Option 10m might result	displacement pressures.	
	in HMO integration in		
	certain areas where it		
	has not yet been located		
	and where positively		
	managed potentially		
	result in integration and		
	more mixed		
	communities.		
	The SA refers to		
	assessment at a later		
	date once information		

		pecomes available.		
		becomes available. At this point the strategic options show similar effects with the only potentially minor negative effect in relation to the SA objective of reducing waste generation and disposal and the sustainable management of waste as HMO development may increase waste		
		generation in certain		
		area if density increases.		
gypsies and travellers	consider potential sites to include impact on	This option shows no negative effects and some minor positive effects.	10n - Specific assessment shows no need to provide pitch accommodation to meet the needs of gypsies and travellers in Thanet	No policy or policy based on alternative criteria -
	(e.g. schools, jobs, healthcare)	The policy although not representing a strategic approach to site selection allows the Council to respond to demand reducing the risk of over or under allocation of sites.	District. However, it is important that should any application to provide such provision come forward, a policy exists to assess the suitability of the site. It is considered appropriate that the criteria outlined	In the event applications are received, absence of policy guidance may result in accommodation being provided on an inappropriate site, where the gypsy and traveller community are unable to sustainably access community facilities and potentially undermining

si n p is si e b	election according to	interests of the gypsy, traveller and settled	peaceful co-existence with the settled community.
a p			

The following policies and options are currently undergoing the Sustainability process:

Policy Name	Draft Policy Wording	Options
of houses than recommended in Strategic Housing Market Assessment)	This encourages housing developments to incorporate a higher proportion of houses (than flats) than recommended in the SHMA and indicates justification will be required for schemes containing a higher proportion of flats than recommended.	Dwelling completions in the district have in the last few years included a proportion of flats substantially higher than recommenmded in the SHMA. This aspect of the policy seeks to redress the balance. Alternative option would be to not incorporate this apspect. However, this would likely significantly extend the time period within which the overall stock may come to reflect the balance recommended in the SHMA.

H06 New agricultural dwellings	This policy restricts proposals for new agricultural dwellings to cases where there is a genuine requirement, and subject to consideration of design access and location, and to be restricted to occupation for such purpose.	The policy is considered to reflect the NPPF approach to restricting isolated homes in the countryside unless special circumstances exist. The policy aims to ensure that any such development genuinely meets such circumstances.
H07 Care and Supported Housing	This policy aims to support provision of good quality suitable accommodation for people needing care and support and that C2 uses are appropriately located.	The policy is considered important to facilitate provision of such housing in line with evidence of need. An option would be no policy. However, this could imply lack of support for such proposals.
H08 Accessible homes	This policy identifies the level of Lifetime Homes and Wheelchair Design housing that may be required as part of the housing mix.	There is evidence of need for such accommodation, and the policy aims to faclitate its provision in line with that. Alternative option of no policy may result in no such accommodation being provided, contrary to the requirements of the NPPG and evidence of need.
H11 Residential Use of Empty Property	This policy supports proposals to bring empty property within built confines into residential use subject to compatibility with nearby uses and other policies.	The NPPF requires us to identify and bring back into residential use empty housing and buildings in line with local strategies. Thanet has a large stock of empty and under-used property much of which is being, and could be usefully

		be, brought into residential use. Alternative option of no policy could discourage proposals or fail to signal that such proosals are generally to be encouraged.
H12 Retention of existing housing stock	Policy restricting loss of existing housing unless proposal relates to community facilities, tourism related uses, otherwise complying with area based housing objectives and providing there is no conflict with certain other policies.	Alternative options 1 Policy as summarised 2 policy excluding exceptions 3 policy including additional exceptions 4 no policy Preferred option policy as summarised is considered appropriate to support the objective of increasing the overall housing stock, and with sufficient specific exceptions to support the Plan's objectives.

Environment & Quality of Life

Issues and Options Issue 11

Issues and Options Issue 11 - How do we maintain a physical separation of open countryside between Thanets Towns and Villages?

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Policy SP20 - Protecting the Countryside

Policy SP21 - Green Wedges

Non-Strategic

None

lssue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	-	Why other options have been rejected
maintain a physical separation of open countryside between Thanets towns and villages?	protection of the open character of the areas between Thanets main towns currently identified as Green Wedges	the green wedges could restrict potential housing supply, but could also push development towards pdl. No policy protection could result in development away from	have historically been valued and protected and relevant policies have been supported at appeals. The Natural Environment Topic Paper explains their importance in providing	11c – Without a policy there would be no additional protection for the green wedges and the countryside which would result in inappropriate developments and urban sprawl, and detract from

Issue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	Preferred Option and Justification	Why other options have been rejected
	and open character of the areas between Thanet's villages 11c – Afford no policy protection to the countryside and green wedges 11d – Encourage alternative uses in the Green Wedges which may include leisure, provision of open space, creation of new wildlife habitats	transport links, thus encouraging private car use. It could also be detrimental to the tourism sector. Removing protection could have an indirect effect on listed buildings by encouraging development in new area out of towns. Removing protection could result in damage to open spaces and wildlife habitats. Access to healthcare or educational facilities, effects on crime and on vulnerable people will not be affected. Encouraging leisure and tourism uses might support employment and positive effects on the GVA.	separation and provides historic detail and examples of successful appeals. Maintaining and protecting the open areas between the Thanet towns is still considered essential as they are vulnerable to development pressures and to prevent the coalescence of the main Thanet towns. This option was strongly supported in the public consultation 11b – Maintaining protection of the rural and open areas between the villages is still considered essential to protect the countryside from sporadic forms of development. This option was strongly supported in the public consultation 11d – Encouraging alternative uses such as leisure, open space and the creation of new wildlife habitats is considered	the contrast between the towns and villages and historic landscapes that are unique to the area.

lssue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	•	Why other options have been rejected
			appropriate as some green wedge areas are currently inaccessible and have potential for enhancements	

Issues and Options Issue 12 - How do we ensure that new development respects Thanet's important and valued views and landscapes?

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Policy SP22 - Protecting Thanet's important views and landscapes

Non-Strategic

None

lssue	Options in interim SA	Sustainability appraisal	•	Why other options have been rejected
12 – How do we ensure that new development respects Thanet's important and valued views and landscapes?	protection for identified Landscape character areas	circumstances. Removal of protection policy could result in changes to the landscape which may negatively affect tourism.	that valued landscapes should be protected and enhanced. Thanet has	protection in determining planning

-			
		naliav	
		policy.	

Issues and Options Issue 13 - How do we protect, maintain and enhance the District's Green Infrastructure to better support wildlife and human health

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Policy SP23 - Green Infrastructure

- Policy SP24 Biodiversity Enhancements
- Policy SP25 Protection of the European Sites
- Policy SP26 Protection of Open Space
- Policy SP27 Provision of accessible natural and semi natural open space

Non-Strategic

Policy GI01 - Locally Designated Wildlife Sites

- Policy GI03 Protected Species and other significant species
- Policy GI04 Requirements for new open space

Policy GI06 - Landscaping and Green Infrastructure in New Developments

Issue	Options in SA	Sustainability Appraisal	Preferred Option and	Why other options
			Justification	have been rejected

13 – How do we protect,	13a – Policy support to	Options unlikely to affect	13a – The NPPF states	13b – Thanet is already
	, , ,	public transport, access to		deficient in open space
		key facilities, reuse of pdl	.	provision, therefore
	open space	or sustainability in	unless certain criteria are	without a specific policy
support wildlife and	· · ·		met. There is a shortage	to protect existing open
human health	13b – No specific policy		of open spaces in Thanet	
		Requirement for new	so this option is necessary	
		development to contribute		further reduction in open
		to GI will have neutral	existing open spaces	space provision could
		effect on housing supply		have a detrimental effect
		with a risk that the viability	The NPPF requires local	to health, and on the
	infrastructure	of smaller developments		economy as open
		may be affected. 13b	the creation, protection	spaces provide health
	13d – Policy requirement		and management of	benefits as well as an
			networks of biodiversity	attractive environment
	provide a net gain in	of place. Policies	and green infrastructure.	where people want to
		supporting GI and open	13c and 13d are	live and work.
		spaces can have a	considered important to	
	13e – Policy requirement	positive effect on air	promote the preservation,	
		quality.	restoration and recreation	
	provide new accessible		of priority habitats,	
	open space		ecological networks and	
			the protection and	
	13f – Policy requirement		recovery of priority	
	for mitigation against any		species.	
	loss of farmland bird			
	habitat		13e – The Natural	
			Environment topic paper	
	13g – Policy support for		sets out how open space	
	enhancement of green		requirements have been	
	wedges		calculated based on the	
			Open Space strategy.	

	This option is necessary to ensure sufficient open space is provided to serve the expected population increase	
	13f – Farmland bird population has been declining so important to ensure remaining populations are protected and encouraged to increase	
	13g – Potential for environmental and recreational enhancements to the green wedges and also the BOAs.	

The following policies and options are currently undergoing the Sustainability Appraisal process:

Policy Name	Draft Policy Wording	Options
of Special Scientific Interest and National Nature Reserve	impact on the European Sites, Sites of Special Scientific Interest or National Nature Reserve will	Option 1 – Inclusion of policy to ensure mitigation of increased recreational pressure at european sites
	not be permitted. If a development proposal	

Locally Designated Wildlife Sites	impact on locally designated wildlife sites will not be permitted. Exceptionally, where a strategic	
	related to other existing habitats.	

Regionally Important Geological Sites	At RIGS sites, development which would result in the loss or obstruction of geological features of importance will not be permitted.	Option 1 – Continue to protect RIGs sites with specific policy Option 2 – No specific policy
Protected Species and other significant species	On sites where protected species or farmland birds may be present, the Council will require a Protected Species survey to be carried out	Option 1 – Continue policy support for the protection of species
	alongside any development proposals. Any mitigation necessary should be carried out in line with Natural England's Standing Advice.	Option 2 – No specific policy
Jackey Bakers	Jackey Bakers sports ground will be promoted as the long-term primary sports venue for Thanet. Where fully justified, the council will permit ancillary development to subsidise the sports use.	Option 1 – continued policy support Option 2 – no specific policy
Designing Landscape and the Public Realm	External spaces, landscape, public realm, and boundary treatments must be designed as an integral part of new development proposals and coordinated with adjacent sites and phases. Development will be supported where it is demonstrated that: 1) the design relates to the character and intended function of the spaces and surrounding buildings,	Policy now combined with General design policy
	2) existing features including trees, natural habitats, boundary treatments and historic street furniture and/or surfaces that positively contribute to the quality and character of an area are	

retained and protected	
3) microclimate is factored into design proposals and that public spaces receive adequate sunlight,	
 materials are of a high quality and respond to the context to help create local distinctiveness, 	
5) an integrated approach is taken to surface water management as part of the overall design,	
6) a coordinated approach is taken to the design and siting of street furniture, boundary treatments, lighting, signage and public art,	
7) trees and other planting is incorporated, appropriate to both the scale of buildings and the space available,	
8) species are selected to enhance biodiversity through the use of native planting and/or species capable of adapting to our changing climate, and	
9) the design considers the needs of all users and adopts the principles of inclusive design.	

Issues and Options Issue 14 - How can we adapt to the effects of, and mitigate against the effects of climate change

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

SP30 - Climate Change

Non-Strategic

- Policy CC01 Fluvial and Tidal Flooding
- Policy CC02 Surface Water Management and Water Quality
- Policy CC03 Coastal Development
- Policy CC04 Sustainable Design
- Policy CC05 Renewable Energy Installations
- Policy CC06 District Heating
- Policy CC07 Solar Parks

Policy CC08 - Richborough

Issue	Options in SA	Sustainability Appraisal		Why other options have been rejected
How can we adapt	14a – Requirement for	If options deemed	The NPPF requires local	14a – Water efficiency

to the effects of	new development to	expensive could affect the	plans to include policies that	measures in new
Climate change?		viability of smaller housing	•	development are considered
enimate enange.			mitigation and adaptation.	appropriate as Thanet is a
		also affect the saleable		water stressed area. The
		value of a house that is	14c – It is considered	Viability Assessment found
			appropriate to identify any	that water CSH Level 3 with
	Ű,	•		level 5 for water is
			erosion and include a relevant	acceptable. However it is
				considered more appropriate
	0			to include this in text only
	14c – Restriction	sustainable pattern of		rather than policy at this
	of development along	development.	14d – It is considered	stage as the government is
	the coast where it may		necessary to include a policy	reviewing the CSH standard.
	be affected by coastal	Retrofitting could have	regarding development in	
	change	positive economic effects,	flood risk areas	14b - It may not always be
		however any works to		practical or appropriate to
			14e – A requirement for the	retrofit to all buildings so this
	,	need to be sensitive to the	use of SUDS in new	option would be
		U	development is considered	impractical/unreasonable
		fabric.	appropriate, however it should	
	the requirements in the		set out what types of SUDS	
	NPPF		would be appropriate in which	
			locations to ensure they do	
	14e – Requirements for		not contribute to pollution of	
	the use of SUDs in new		groundwater.	
	developments			
	1.46 Deguine as a station			4.46 this entire is
How can we		Options f,g,h and j could	14g – Option complies with NPPF	14f – this option is
mitigate against the effects of	•	affect the viability of		considered appropriate until
		housing schemes. Measures for	requirements. Considered appropriate to include policy	such a time that it is replaced by a new government
Climate Change?			supporting proposals which	national standard, therefore
			supporting proposals which	

	•	5	appropriate as informative
	,	and construction	text rather than policy
U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U	oublic transport network		
	and could have a positive	14h – clause considered	
		appropriate for inclusion in a	
principles including		sustainable design policy	
landscaping and layout C			
which minimise use of	•	14i – Meets requirements in	14j – this is an issue that is
	j 11		addressed fully in other parts
	development of		of the plan
14h – Requirements for r		14k – with appropriate clauses	
	about some employment	relating to visual and	
obtain an element of its g		environmental impact	
energy from renewable r			
		14I – complies with the NPPF	
	be a neutral effect with		
		14m – Part of Richborough is	
		already being developed as	
		an energy park so a local plan	
		allocation would support any	
	define suitable/appropriate	further applications	
	sites.		
14j – Requirement for			
new developments to			
incorporate measures			
to reduce use of the			
private car			
14k Cupport for			
14k – Support for			
development of solar			
farms subject to criteria			

14I – Support for other forms of renewable energy developments in appropriate locations		
14m – Allocation of specific sites which would be suitable for large scale renewable energy development		

Issues and Options Issue 15 - Maintain a safe and healthy environment

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strtategic

None

Non-Strategic

Policy SE01 - Potentially Polluting Development

- Policy SE02 Landfill Sites and Unstable Land
- Policy SE03 Contaminated Land
- Policy SE04 Groundwater Protection Zones
- Policy SE05 Local Air Quality Monitoring
- Policy SE06 Noise Pollution

Policy SE10 - Light Pollution

Issue – 15 Maintain a safe and healthy environment			•	Why other options have been rejected
15 –Control Polluting Development	5	,		15b – Without a policy it would be more difficult to

	15b – No specific policy	15b.	concluded that a policy is necessary as the potential release of pollutants as a result of development is a material consideration in planning decisions. Meets requirements of the NPPF.	incorporate pollution control in the planning process
Development on contaminated land	development on contaminated land 15d – No specific policy	without a policy there would be a greater risk to health. Both options could deter development on pdl if a developer can	15c - Discussions with Environmental Health concluded that a policy is necessary to ensure site assessments and any necessary remedial measures are carried out prior to development Meets requirements of the NPPF.	15d – Without a policy it would be more difficult to incorporate the remediation of a potential development site in the planning process
Development on unstable and derelict land	development on unstable and derelict land 15f – No specific policy	These options only likely to effect the development of pdl. 15e could help make the development of pdl more viable and less risky for developers and give		15f – Without a policy it would be more difficult to incorporate land stability issues in the planning process.
Air quality	15h – No specific policy	result in beneficial effects as it allows the control of development in AQMA so will improve	15g – Discussions with Environmental Health concluded that a local plan policy will help in implementing the Air Quality Action Plan to address the	15h– Without a policy the necessary links between planning, new developments and the Air Quality Action Plan would not be apparent and

		contribute towards improving the health of residents within the AQMA.	Urban AQMA. Because of the peninsular nature of the district, any significant development will result in increased traffic in areas which already suffer from poor air quality. Meets requirements of the NPPF.	Thanets air quality would deteriorate.
Noise	unacceptable noise	15i could have indirect positive effects by reducing the stress and adverse effects noise can have on quality of life.		which noise must be assessed
Light pollution	15I – No specific policy	effects on how satisfied people area with where they live. It could also have visual and landscape impact	15k – Light pollution is a statutory nuisance and could impact significantly on Thanets unique open landscapes therefore a policy is necessary. Meets requirements of the NPPF	15I – Without a policy there would be no standards against which lighting levels must be assessed.
Groundwater	development that would detrimentally impact groundwater		used to supply water for drinking, agriculture,	15n – No specific policy could result in development being permitted that pollutes the groundwater. Once the

15n –	- No specific policy quality is protected b other legislation.	y Policy necessary as groundwater is extremely vulnerable to contamination as substances are able to pass rapidly through the thin soils and natural fissures. Part of Thanet is designated by the Environment Agency as a Groundwater Protection Zone. Policy should refer to SUDS as some methods would affect groundwater.	

The following policies are currently undergoing the Sustainability Appraisal process:

Policy Name	Draft Policy Wording Option	IS
Aircraft noise	Applications for noise sensitive development or redevelopment on sites likely to be affected by aircraft noise will be determined in relation to the latest accepted prediction of existing and foreseeable ground noise measurement of aircraft noise.	pment subject to aircraft noise
	Applications for residential development will be determined in accordance with the	

followir	wing noise exposure	g noise exposure categories.		
Nec	c Predicted ai (dbl aeq.070	rcraft noise levels 0-23.00)		
A	<57	Noise will not be a determining factor		
В		Noise will be taken into account in determining applications, and where appropriate, conditions will be imposed to ensure an adequate level of protection against noise (policy ep8 refers).		
C		Planning permission will not be granted except where the site lies within the confines of existing substantially built- up area. Where residential development is exceptionally granted, conditions will be imposed to ensure an adequate level of		

D	>72	protection against noise (policy ep8 refers). Residential development will not be permitted.	
including sch considered s permitted in a aircraft noise unless the ap that no alterr Proposals wi adequate lev	nools, hospit ensitive to r areas expect e levels exce oplicant is al native site is Il be expect rels of sound	dential development als and other uses to be subject to red to be subject to reding 60 db(a) ble to demonstrate available. ed to demonstrate d insulation where the particular use.	
residential de expected to b noise of abov be subject to insulation to	evelopment be subject to ve 57db(a)** provision o achieve a m lation in acc eria:	a level of aircraft , such consent will	Option 1 – Retain policy regulating residential development subject to aircraft noise Option 2 – No specific policy

		Noise Levels Attenuation required (dB(A) (frequency range 100-3150 Hz)		
	A	<57	No attenuation measures required	
	В	57-63	20dB	
	С	63-72	30dB	
Naisa Astisu Disu kanastant Anasa		57dB 07.00-23		Onting A - Include mellow to militate
Noise Action Plan Important Areas	identifie Action F	d Important Are Plan must incorp	as in the Noise oorate mitigation	Option 1 – Include policy to mitigate against noise identified in Important Areas Option 2 – No specific policy
		tial amenity		

Issues and Options Issue 16

Issues and Options Issue 16 - Provide High Quality Homes and Neighbourhoods

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Policy SP28 - Quality Development

Non-Strategic

- QD01 General Design Principles
- QD02 Living Conditions
- GI04 Amenity Green Space and Equippled Play Areas
- GI06 Landscaping and Green Infrastructure in New Developments

Policy CC02 - Surface Water Management and Water Quality

Issue 16 – Provide high quality homes and neighbourhoods	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	•	Why other options have been rejected
character	impact of development on	potential for a number of positive effects,	16a, 16b and 16c – High quality design and a good standard of amenity can help support the	
	16b – Consideration of impact of new development	significant of the options is the	economic strategy and contribute towards a	

	on the character and	requirement for	higher standard of health	
		•	and wellbeing. This is	
			9	
		into account its	particularly important in	
		surroundings,	Thanet where some	
	16c – Consideration of impact	5		
		J	the design and quality of	
			developments have not	
	on neighbouring buildings	this can have on	been to a very high	
			standard.	
		townscape. Specific		
		policy criteria and		
		mechanism for		
		achieving these	These options comply	
			with the NPPF, and were	
		further development	supported in the	
		and assessment in	consultation.	
		subsequent stages of		
		the local plan.		
Movement and access in	16d – Provision of	16d will help support	16d – It is important to	
new development	pedestrian/cyclist/vehicle	alternatives to private	provide for different	
	movement in new	car use by ensuring	transport modes both in	
	development	that infrastructure and	terms of practicalities as	
		facilities are integrated	people will still travel by	
	16e – Provision of disabled		car, and enabling safe	
	access	-	and convenient cycling	
		16e will have a positive	and walking routes which	
		effect in improving	will contribute to reducing	
		access to new	carbon emissions by	
		developments for	providing an alternative	
		vulnerable groups and	means of transport as	
		U	well as health benefits.	
		new developments	Option supported but	

		all abilities are happy to live.	considered more appropriate to locate in other sections of the plan 16e – Option supported but considered more appropriate to locate in other sections of the plan.	
Open space and green space	green infrastructure	local health benefits by providing opportunities for people to exercise more and live healthier lifestyles. 16h is likely to have a significant positive effect on habitat creation and enhancing connectivity between isolated habitats	 16f – The NPPF states that access to high quality open spaces can make an important contribution to the health and well being of communities. It states that assessments should identify deficits and needs for open space to determine what provision is required. Thanet is currently deficient in public open space provision so this option is appropriate, as well as to create pleasant living environments and support a healthy community 16g – This option will help create high quality areas 	

			where people will want to live as well as contribute to the GI network 16h – This option will improve biodiversity and also quality of life for Thanets residents	
Crime prevention and contributing towards public art	16i – Measures to prevent crime and disorder 16j – Integration of public art	only benefit new development. Both options would likely have a significant effect on sense of place and peoples general satisfaction	should create safe and accessible environments where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine quality of life or community cohesion. This option was well supported in the public consultation with one comment suggesting that fear of crime can undermine other policies designed to improve the district. Reducing crime	16j – The incorporation of public art received little support in public consultation and is not considered necessary for the sustainable development of the district. The NPPG suggests that public art is not a priority in suggesting that planning obligations should not be sought for anything clearly not necessary to make a development acceptable in planning terms, giving public art as an example.

s 1 a	6l – incorporation of sustainable drainage systems 6m – Appropriate design and location of advertisements	greenhouse gas emissions and energy consumption by providing alternatives to energy intensive tumble dryers. 16I is likely to contribute towards reducing flood risk, climate change adaptation and indirectly contribute towards habitat creation with SUDs in the form of ponds or waterbodies.	facilities contributes to health and wellbeing in the provision of a space away from the main living area, and, in making this provision, new developments are less likely to be unacceptably small. It is essential that adequate provision is made for waste storage, particularly in enabling recyclable and general waste to be segregated. 16I – This option is considered appropriate as it contributes towards reducing surface water flooding, can provide new habitats and biodiversity, and can contribute to health and well being. However SUDs will be inappropriate in some areas due to potential contamination of the groundwater. This issue, and relevant policy, will	
			and relevant policy, will be addressed under a	

			separate policy. 16m – This option is necessary to ensure advertisements do not compromise public safety, are not a public nuisance and do not detract from the important qualities of conservation areas.	
Areas of high townscape value	policy protection for AHTVs 16o – Removal of policy protection 16p – Identify areas that are of high townscape value and	indirectly on the sense of place, peoples satisfaction with where they live and cultural heritage features. 16o has the potential for adverse effects on the sense of identity of towns and could potentially harm the visitor economy and result in adverse, visual townscape and heritage effects.	protection from the existing AHTVs, the Local Plan will include stronger design policies with an emphasis on the qualities identified in the existing AHTV areas. This will ensure those qualities are protected and enhanced in all areas of the district rather than only those with an AHTV designation. It is considered that stronger design policies should remedy the concerns raised regarding option 0 in the SA comments.	policy protection 16p – There are many areas of the district that are of high townscape

				SPD.
Housing density	 16q – Housing densities set in zones 16r – Housing density decided by density of surroundings of application site 16s – Continue density requirement H1 in TLP 2006 	positive effect on sense of place, townscape, heritage features and the sustainable use of land resources. By allowing different densities of development the options have indirect benefits for sustainable transport by increasing the numbers of people living close to town centres where public transport links can be accessed more easily.	their own approach to housing density to reflect local circumstances and that this should be guided by design policies.The Quality Development Topic Paper identifies different types of areas and suggested	 16q – Some areas would be easier to zone than others, therefore this options was not considered the most appropriate. 16s – This requirement was set based on national and regional targets – both of which no longer exist. Therefore it is
Garden land development	16t – Policy restriction on development on garden land	16t can contribute indirectly towards biodiversity by helping		16t – Restricting all garden development is not considered and

16u – No restriction	to retain gardens and their role as important areas of habitat within urban areas and towns.	appropriate option as there will be instances where a proposed development on garden land would improve the
		area. 16u – No restriction or criteria could make it difficult to provide justified reasons for refusal for inappropriate development that would not contribute to high quality developments and a pleasant environment.

The following policies and options are currently undergoing the Sustainability Appraisal process:

Policy Name	Draft Policy Wording	Options
Realm	and boundary treatments must be designed as an integral part of new development proposals and coordinated with adjacent sites and phases. Development will be supported where it is demonstrated that:	Policy now combined with General design policy
	1) the design relates to the character and	

intended function of the spaces and surrounding buildings,	
2) existing features including trees, natural habitats, boundary treatments and historic street furniture and/or surfaces that positively contribute to the quality and character of an area are retained and protected	
 microclimate is factored into design proposals and that public spaces receive adequate sunlight, 	
 materials are of a high quality and respond to the context to help create local distinctiveness, 	
5) an integrated approach is taken to surface water management as part of the overall design,	
6) a coordinated approach is taken to the design and siting of street furniture, boundary treatments, lighting, signage and public art,	
7) trees and other planting is incorporated, appropriate to both the scale of buildings and the space available,	
 8) species are selected to enhance	

	biodiversity through the use of native planting and/or species capable of adapting to our changing climate, and 9) the design considers the needs of all users and adopts the principles of inclusive design.	
Advertisements	Applications for advertisements will be	
Telecommunications Development	that the following criteria are met.	Option 1 – Include Telecommunications policy Option 2 – No specific policy

proposed apparatus and associated	
structures should seek to minimise impact	
on the visual amenity, character and	
appearance of the surrounding area.	
2) If on a building, apparatus and	
associated structures should be sited and	
designed to minimise impact to the external	
appearance of the host building.	
3) If proposing a new mast, it should be	
demonstrated that the applicant has	
explored the possibility of erecting	
apparatus on existing buildings, masts or	
other structures. Such evidence should	
accompany any application made to the	
Council.	
4) If proposing development in a	
sensitive area, the development should not	
have an unacceptable effect on areas of	
ecological interest, areas of landscape	
importance, archaeological sites,	
conservation areas or buildings of	
architectural or historic interest.	
When considering applications for	
• • • •	
telecommunications development, the	
Council will have regard to the operational	
requirements of telecommunications	
networks and the technical limitations of	
the technology.	

Issues and Options Issue 17

Issues and Options Issue 17 - Protecting and enhancing Thanet's heritage assets and their setting

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Policy SP29 - Heritage Assets

Non-Strategic

- HE01 Archaeology
- HE02 Conservation Areas
- HE03 Heritage Assets
- HE04 Historic Parks and Gardens

HE05 - The Historic Environment and Climate Change

Issue 17 – Protecting and enhancing Thanets heritage assets and their setting	Options in interim SA	Sustainability Appraisal	•	Why other options have been rejected
listed heritage assets	preservation and enhancement of existing	direct and indirect effects on the character and	The NPPF states that local plans should set out a positive strategy for the conservation and	

	 17b – Support for designation of new conservation areas 17c – Support for protection and enhancement of listed buildings 17d – Support for protection and enhancement of historic parks and gardens 17e – Support for protection and enhancement of scheduled ancient monuments 17f – Support for protection and enhancement of buildings of local interest and other heritage assets, through 	and visitor economy.	enjoyment of the historic environment. Thanet has a rich heritage and can trace its origins to pre-historic activity. Thanet has approximately 2500 listed buildings, 21 conservation areas,13 scheduled ancient monuments and a richness in archaeological remains. All of the options are considered important and necessary to protect and enhance these assets.	
Protecting assets and criteria based policies	appropriate, protection of		states that local plans should set out a positive	17k – Sites will be identified through the forthcoming Heritage Strategy so not

17h – Support towards positive action in relation to Heritage Park 17i – Criteria based policy for determining applications for buildings which are locally listed 17j – Criteria based policy for dealing with renewable energy in the historic environment	contribute to character of townscape. Effects of 17I uncertain as it is not clear to what extent the NPPF on its own would protect heritage assets.	enjoyment of the historic environment, and identify specific opportunities for the conservation and	appropriate for inclusion in planning policy. 17I – Although the NPPF provides some policy protection to Heritage Assets, it is not considered sufficient for Thanet considering its rich history and high number of listed buildings
0,			

Issues and Options Issue 18

Issues and Options Issue 18 - How should we plan for community facilities

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Policy SP32 - Community and Utility Infrastructure

Non-Strategic

Policy CM01 - Provision of New Community Facilites

Policy CM02 - Retention of Community Facilities

Policy CM03 - New Primary School, Margate

Policy CM04 - Margate Cemetery Expansion

Policy CM05 - Minster Cemetery Expansion

Issue	Options in SA	Sustainability Appraisal	Preferred Option and Justification	Why other options have been rejected
18 – How should we	18a – Policy support for	18a and 18b contribute	18a – The NPPF states	18b – There are
plan for community	protecting existing	towards supporting existing	that planning policies	development pressures to
facilities	community facilities	facilities and promoting the	should guard against the	redevelop community
		development of new	unnecessary loss of	facilities for more valuable
	18b – No specific policy	facilities. The effects of 18b	community facilities and	uses.Without a policy,
	for protecting existing	are uncertain as it may or	other local services. The	community facilities, and
		may not be governed by	consultation raised	the space to

other factors such as	concern that unused	accommodate them,
		to communities.
		to communities.
		10d Without a policy
		18d – Without a policy
		necessary community
increased in the long term.		delivered
	•	
	lost.	
	18c – The NPPF states	
	should plan positively for	
	the provision and use of	
	shared space, community	
	facilities and other local	
	services. It is considered	
	necessary to include a	
	policy in order to meet the	
	-	
	other factors such as population growth, housing numbers and the needs of the population. Option d would have adverse effects as it would not allow the capacity of facilities to be increased in the long term.	population growth, housing numbers and the needs of the population. Option d would have adverse effects as it would not allow the capacity of facilities to be increased in the long term.

The following policies and options are currently undergoing the Sustainability Appraisal process:

Policy Name Draft Polic	cy Wording Options	5
-------------------------	--------------------	---

Healthy and Inclusive Communities	organisations, communities and developers to	residents
Community Infrastructure	Development will only be permitted when provision is made to ensure delivery of relevant and sufficient community and utility infrastructure. Where appropriate, development will be expected to contribute to the provision of new, improved, upgraded or replacement infrastructure and facilities.	Option 1 – Include strategic policy to ensure delivery of community and utility infrastructure Option 2 – No specific policy
Expansion of Primary and Secondary Schools	The Council will support the expansion of existing and development of new primary and	Option 1 – Include strategic policy to ensure support for the expansion of

	secondary schools in Thanet to meet identified needs and will work with Kent County Council in identifying, allocating and safeguarding land as appropriate.	schools Option 2 – No specific policy
New Primary School, Margate	Land is allocated at the All Saints Avenue, Margate, as shown on Map **, for the development of a new Primary School.	Option 1 – Include allocation for new primary school
		Option 2 – Do not allocate specific site
Margate Cemetery Expansion	Land is allocated and safeguarded for the expansion of Margate Cemetery and ancillary uses.	Option 1 – include allocation of land for the expansion of the cemetery
		Option 2 – Do not allocate specific site
Minster Cemetery Expansion	Land should be provided for the expansion of Minster Cemetery and ancillary uses in reconciliation with the allocated housing site adjoining the existing Cemetery.	Option 1 – include allocation of land for the expansion of the cemetery Option 2 – Do not allocate specific site

Transport & Infrastructure

Issues and Options Issue 19

Issues and Options Issue 19 - Enabling an efficient and effective transport system

The policies in the Preferred Options Local Plan as a result of the Issues and Options considerations are as follows:

Strategic

Non-Strategic

lssue	Options in SA	Sustainability Appraisal	Why other options have been rejected
Enabling an efficient and effective transpor system		day.	

 points" in the transport network Improving through- traffic flows at Westwood and facilitating convenient and safe movement within the area The level and location of public car parking needed in the town centres Addressing the 	network is/will be able to cope. (Expected by NPPF and responsive to fact that some network junctions have capacity limitations) Policy to clarify that development will be expected to contribute as appropriate to improvements to local highway network as identified in Transport Strategy. Policy to support implementation of a scheme to relieve	
 needed in the town centres Addressing the deficiencies in the transport system to deal with existing problems 	Policy to support implementation of a scheme to relieve potential traffic congestion issues at Westwood (To support continued function of the area as a major destination) Policy to safeguard car parking provision at	
	coastal town centres and seek to rationalise level and disposition of provision at Westwood. (Sufficient car parking is	

important to the functions of the town centres).
Need to avoid over-provision at Westwood.
Policies to encourage developers and service providers to address existing and potential deficiencies. (compatible with government policy in NPPF.

The following policies and options are currently undergoing the Sustainability process:

Policy Name	Draft Policy Wording	Options
SP34 Safe and Sustainable Travel	Policy expressing Council's commitment to working with partners to manage travel demand and sustainable modes, and requiring	Policy considered consistent with NPPF and interim sustainability appraisal objectives.
	safe and sustainable travel.	Alternative of no policy would undermine expression of commitment to promoting sustainable travel.
SP35 Accessible location	supports clusteringor co-location of services at locations accessible ion	This policy aims to reduce the need to travel and promotes use of sustainable modes. This is consistent with the NPPF objective to balance the transport system in favour of sustainable tramsport modes and

		choice about mode of travel. Alternative option of no policy could weaken the Plan's ability to direct development to locations that will assist this objective.
SP36 Tansport Infrastructure	This policy requires provision of relevant transport infrastructure	Absence of such a policy may undermine the ability to assess, and require development to secure, the type and level of supporting transport infrastructure required. Alternative of no policy could place a significant and unsustainable burden on existing infrastructre and undermine sustainable development.
SP37 Connectivity	This policy states that the Council will continue to lobby for investments to secure improved rail journey times for CTRL and domestic services between Ramsgate and Ashford	Prospective improvements will improve the attractiveness of the district as a place in which to invest and from which to commute, in turn supporting a more mixed community structure, a wider employment base, more local spending and potential job creation. Alternative option of no policy could imply lack of support for these investments.
SP38 Strategic Road Network	This policy expresses a commitment to work with neighbouring districts to assess the impact of planned growth and movement upon particular junctions on the strategic road network.	This policy reflects the need for the Highways Agency to assess the impacts of local development on the Strategic Road Network in order to assess what investement may be needed to maintain appropriate

		capacity. Alternative option of not expressing and following such commitment could lead to uncertainty about deliverability of development in terms of transport infrastructure capacity.
SP39 New Rail Station	Policy supporting development (and safeguarding the proposed site) of a new railway station suitably located west of Ramsgate subject to criteria regarding vehicular access, suitable level of car parking, integration with wider public transport services, mitigation of noise impacts, compatibility with landscape character and location to minimise loss of best and most verasatile agricultural land.	This project which is being led by the County Council is expected to generate social and economic benefits for, and beyond, the district. The policy expresses support for the project and safeguards the proposed site from alternative development. Alternative option of no policy could signify lack of support for the project and undermine feasibility of its delivery.

Policy Name	Draft Policy Wording	Options
TP01 Transport Assessments and Travel Plans	Policy setting requirement (where appropriate) for development proposals to provide Transport Assessments and Travel Plans.	Policy reflects NPPF and is considered helpful reference to clarify expectation.
		Alternative of no policy could erode

		awareness of this requirement.
TPO2 Walking	enhance safe and convenient walking routes.	Policy considered appropriate as promoting sustainable travel modes consistent with NPPF. Alternative of no policy might undermine ability to effectively incorporate these considerations in dealing with development proposals.
TPO3 Cycling	Policy	
	-expressing commitment to seeeking earliest possible provision of a network of cycle routes, safeguarding existing/proposed routes,	Policy considered appropriate as promoting sustainable travel modes consistent with NPPF. Alternative of no policy might
	-setting expectation that new	undermine ability to effectively
	development considers cyclist safety	incorporate these considerations in dealing with development proposals.
	- setting requirements for cycle parking and facilities	
TPO4 Public Transport	Policy setting expectation for new development to take account of the need to facilitate use of public transport and supporting proposals to provide	
	certain facilities to facilitate such travel.	
		undermine ability to effectively
		incorporate/support these
		considerations in dealing with development proposals.
TP05 Coach parking	Policy safeguarding specific existing	Policy considered appropriate in order

	and potential sites for coach parking.	to safguard and augment coach parking facilities to support Thanet's visitor economy. Alternative of no policy could result in existing and proposed coach parking areas being lost to alternative development.
TPO6 Car parking.	Policy providing guidance on the level of car parking expected in new developments, including exceptions/ and continued relaxation within defined town cenre areas.	Policy considered consistent with and appropriate in light of NPPF. Continued relaxation in town centre areas
TPO7 Town centre and public car parks	Policy safeguarding town centre car parking	Policy considered appropriate in order to retain a sufficient quantity of suitably located town centre car parking in support of their function and vitality consistent with the NPPF. Alternative of no policy could lead to proposals eroding sufficient and suitably located provision.

Policy Name	Draft Policy Wording	Options
TP08 Freight and Service Delivery	Policy stateing new development proposals will be expected to demonstrate adequate off-street servicing.	Policy considered approprate to safeguard movement of goods and people and accommodate efficient delivery of goods in accordance with the NPPF.
		Alternative of no policy could result in conflict with safe and convenient movement in the vicinity.
TP09 Car parking at Westwood	Policy retaining expectation for development proposals to demonstrate measures to encourage element of customers to arrive by non car modes of travel, a corresponding reduction in parking provision below general guidelines, and to consider proposals that may generate parking in light of compatibility with the Westwood Relief Scheme.	Policy considered appropriate as Westwood area is characterised by a significant level of traffic movement, exacerbated by movement between different car parks in the vicinity around the intersection of two main roads. Alternative option of no policy could result in additional visits by private cars and increased movement between car parks undermining convenient and safe movement by other modes.
TP10 Traffic management	Policy supporting development required to implement traffic management measures designed to realise best use of the highway network in terms of safety, traffic capacity and environmental conditions.	Policy considered appropriate expression of support and consistent with the the NPPF reference to creating safe and secure layouts which minimise conflicts between traffic and cyclists or pedestrians. Alternative of no policy could imply lack of support.